



The Effect of Ownership Structure on The Relationship Between Liquidity and Company Value¹

HamidReza Ganji², Mehran Jahandoust Marghoub³, Soraya Weysihsar⁴,
Hashem Nasirifar⁵

Received: 2021/11/27

Accepted: 2022/06/06

INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVE

In a series of papers, Amihud and Mendelson (1988, 1991, 2000, 2008) advocate that firms can and should actively pursue corporate policies aimed at increasing the liquidity of their publicly traded shares. The incentive is that improved liquidity leads to a lower cost of equity capital and higher stock price, which increases the market value of the firm (Chia, et al. 2020). Evidence from Fang et al. (2009) shows that companies have a positive effect on firm value through strategies to increase liquidity, including stock price information channels and stock-based management rewards. Although these authors outline five positive theoretical channels (liquidity premium, sentiment, positive feedback, pay-for-performance sensitivity, and block holder intervention), they also highlight two negative mechanisms (activist exit and negative feedback) through which liquidity might reduce firm value. In practice, maintaining liquidity is not a costless effort, and thus corporate managers must often weigh the tradeoff between potential costs and the well-reported valuation premium. For instance, Amihud and Mendelson (2000, 2008) highlight the direct costs incurred when providing more information to investors and expanding the investor base, and their

1. DOI: 10.22051/JFM.2022.39266.2653

2. Assistant Professor, Department of Accounting, Faculty of Social and Economic Science, Alzahra University, Tehran, Iran. (Corresponding Author), Email: h.ganji@alzahra.ac.ir

3. Ph.D. Student, Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Mazandaran University, Babolsar, Iran. Email: mjahandostm@yahoo.com

4. M.Sc. Department of Accounting, KAR Higher Education Institute, Qazvin, Iran. Email: soraiaweysihsar@yahoo.com

5. M.Sc. Department of Accounting, Farabi Campus of University of Tehran, Qom, Iran. Email: hashem.nasirifar@ut.ac.ir

indirect effects on firms' competitive advantage and agency costs, respectively. While the negative channels are not dominant in developed markets, the same cannot be expected for emerging stock exchanges due to differences in an institutional setting, regulatory framework, level of information efficiency, shareholder activism, and investor sophistication. According to different theories and different and sometimes contradictory results that previous research has presented and given the countervailing positive and negative effects, as predicted by competing theoretical models, there is expected to be a non-linear relationship between liquidity and firm value. Also, research has shown that company owners, through the corporate governance channel and stock price information, affect the relationship between liquidity and company value, and given the possibility of feedback effects from stock prices to firms' real investment decisions (Chia, et al. 2020). According to the above discussion, the purpose of this study is to study the effect of ownership structure on the relationship between liquidity and the value of companies listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange. Given the purpose, this study seeks to answer the question of whether there is a relationship between liquidity and company value? Also, does corporate governance have a significant effect on the relationship between liquidity and firm value?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sample consists of all companies listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange that have been active in the stock exchange between 1393 to 1399. In selecting the companies, the following conditions have been considered: to control the time effect and to increase the comparability, the end of the fiscal year of the studied companies should lead to the end of March in each year; to homogenize the statistical population, companies did not change the financial year during the research period; some listed companies include banks and financial institutions (investment companies, financial intermediaries, holding companies, banks, and leasing companies) in which the financial disclosures and structures of the company's governing principles are different, are deleted; for companies' shares to be fluid, and as a result, stock prices and returns to be reliable, and for better comparison, companies should not have a trading interval of more than three months; the necessary data in the research period should be fully available. The 125 companies that met the above conditions were selected to test the research hypotheses. After collecting the data, Excel software was used to summarize and calculate. Then, the final analysis was performed by multivariate regression patterns using Eviews software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of testing the hypotheses showed that there is a significant nonlinear (U-shaped) relationship between stock liquidity and corporate value. This finding means that at the basic levels of liquidity (before the threshold point of 2.194), there is a negative and significant relationship between liquidity and the value of companies. However, at levels above the baseline level of liquidity, there is a positive and

significant relationship between liquidity and the value of companies. Also, institutional shareholders, real shareholders, and the largest shareholder have a moderating (inverse U) effect on the nonlinear relationship between liquidity and firm value. Findings from the inverted U-curve show that, before the threshold point, a higher percentage of liquidity is associated with a higher firm value. However, when the liquidity base exceeds a certain threshold level and becomes too large, the value of the company decreases.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

The nonlinear (U-shaped) relationship between stock liquidity and corporate value shows that after the threshold point, enhanced liquidity leads to a lower cost of equity and higher stock prices and, consequently, increases the market value of the firm. But before the threshold point, this relationship becomes negative. The results also showed that stocks with higher institutional owners, higher real owners, and shares of the largest shareholder should have a relatively lower level of liquidity (before the threshold of 3.176 for institutional owners, 3.662 for real owners, and 2.988 for the largest shareholder) to be traded to increase the value of the company. This indicates the dominance of information competition and the costs of incorrect selection on all sides of the threshold. Regarding the experimental comparison of the evidence obtained from this study with other studies, it should be said that these results are fully consistent with previous studies such as Chia et al. (2020). To justify these findings, it should be noted that the nonlinear relationship not only challenges the existing view that "more is better", but rather, it recommends a maximum level of liquidity threshold beyond which the firm's value will increase or decrease. Therefore, the managers of companies listed on the stock exchange can provide the grounds for the growth and development of the company by managing their company's share liquidity. Also, the capital market officials of the country can make policies and legislations by considering the threshold levels introduced in this research.

Keywords: Institutional Shareholder, Largest Shareholder, Ownership Structure, Real Shareholder, Stock Liquidity.

References

- Acharya, V.V., & Pedersen, L.H. (2005). "Asset pricing with liquidity risk". *Journal of Financial Economics*, 77(2), 375-410.
- Admati, A.R., & Pfleiderer, P. (2009). "The "Wall Street Walk" and shareholder activism: Exit as a form of voice". *The Review of Financial Studies*, 22(7), 2645-2685.
- Alavi Tabari, Seyed Hossein, Parsai, Mena. (2019). the relationship between audit quality and corporate transparency in companies listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange. *The financial Accounting and Auditing Researches*, 11 (41), 43-68. (in persian).

Amihud, Y., & Mendelson, H. (1988). "Liquidity and asset prices: Financial management implications". *Financial Management*, 17(1), 5–15.

Amihud, Y., & Mendelson, H. (1991). "Liquidity, asset prices and financial policy". *Financial Analysts Journal*, 47(6), 56–66.

Amihud, Y., & Mendelson, H. (2000). "The liquidity route to a lower cost of capital". *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 12(4), 8–26.

Amihud, Y., & Mendelson, H. (2008). "Liquidity, the value of the firm and corporate finance". *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 20(2), 32–45.

Back, K., Li, T. & Ljungqvist, A. (2015). "Liquidity and governance". SSRN working paper, available at <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2350362>.

Badavarhandi, Younes and Maleki, Ajar. (2014). "Study of the effect of stock liquidity on the value of companies listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange". *The Second National Conference on Approach to Accounting, Management and Economics*, Islamic Azad University, Fooman and Shaft Branch, August 14, 2014 (in persian).

Baker, M., Stein, J., (2004). "Market liquidity as a sentiment indicator". *Journal of Financial Markets* 7, 271–299.

Balakrishnan, K., Billings, M. B., Kelly, B., & Ljungqvist, A. (2014). "Shaping liquidity: On the causal effects of voluntary disclosure". *Journal of Finance*, 69(5), 2237–2278.

Batten, J., & Vo, X. V. (2019). "Liquidity and firm value in an emerging market". *Singapore Economic Review*, 64(2), 365–376.

Bharath, S. T., Jayaraman, S., & Nagar, V. (2013). "Exit as governance: An empirical analysis". *Journal of Finance*, 68(6), 2515–2547.

Bhide, A. (1993). "The hidden costs of stock market liquidity". *Journal of Financial Economics*, 34(1), 31–51.

Bond, P., Edmans, A., & Goldstein, I. (2012). "The real effects of financial markets". *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 4, 339–360.

Brennan, M. J., & Tamarowski, C. (2000). "Investor relations, liquidity, and stock prices". *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 12(4), 26–37.

Brennan, M.J., & Subrahmanyam, A. (1996). "Market microstructure and asset pricing: On the compensation for illiquidity in stock returns". *Journal of Financial Economics*, 41(3), 441-464.

Brennan, M.J., Chordia, T., & Subrahmanyam, A. (1998). "Alternative factor specifications, security characteristics, and the cross-section of expected stock returns". *Journal of Financial Economics*, 49(3), 345-373.

Brogaard, J., Li, D., & Xia, Y. (2017). "Stock liquidity and default risk". *Journal of Financial Economics*, 124(3), 486–502.

Bushee, B. J., & Miller, G. S. (2012). "Investor relations, firm visibility, and investor following". *Accounting Review*, 87(3), 867–897.



Chang, X., Chen, Y., & Zolotoy, L. (2017). "Stock liquidity and stock price crash risk". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 52(4), 1605–1637.

Cheung, W. M., Chung, R., & Fung, S. (2015). "The effects of stock liquidity on firm value and corporate governance: Endogeneity and the REIT experiment". *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 35, 211–231.

Chia, Y., Lim, K., & Goh, K. (2020). "Liquidity and firm value in an emerging market: Nonlinearity, political connections and corporate ownership". *North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 52, 1-26.

Chia, Y.-E. Lim, K.-P. and Goh, K.-L. (2019). "More shareholders, higher liquidity? Evidence from an emerging stock market". *Emerging Markets Review*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2020.100696>.

Chordia, T., Roll, R., & Subrahmanyam, A. (2008). "Liquidity and market efficiency". *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(2), 249–268.

Chung, D. Y., & Hrazdil, K. (2010). "Liquidity and market efficiency: A large sample study". *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34(10), 2346–2357.

Coffee, J. C. (1991). "Liquidity versus control: The institutional investor as corporate monitor". *Columbia Law Review*, 91(6), 1277–1368.

Daryaei, A., Fattahi, Y. (2020). Blockholders Ownership and Liquidity: Panel Smooth Transition Regression Model. *Financial Management Strategy*, 8(3), 63-86. (in persian).

Dass, N., Nanda, V., & Xiao, S. C. (2013). "Innovative firms and the endogenous choice of stock liquidity". Working paper. Georgia: Institute of Technology.

Easley, D., & O'Hara, M. (2004). "Information and the cost of capital". *Journal of Finance*, 59(4), 1553–1583.

Edmans, A. (2009). "Blockholder trading, market efficiency, and managerial myopia". *The Journal of Finance*, 64(6), 2481-2513.

Edmans, A. (2014). "Blockholders and corporate governance". *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 6, 23–50.

Edmans, A., & Holderness, C. G. (2017). "Blockholders: A survey of theory and evidence. In B. E. Hermalin, & M. S. Weisbach (Vol. Eds.), *The handbook of the economics of corporate governance*: Vol. 1, (pp. 541-636). Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Edmans, A., Fang, V. W., & Zur, E. (2013). "The effect of liquidity on governance". *Review of Financial Studies*, 26(6), 1443–1482.

Fang, V. W., Noe, T. H., & Tice, S. (2009). "Stock market liquidity and firm value". *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94(1), 150–169.

Fang, V. W., Tian, X., & Tice, S. (2014). "Does stock liquidity enhance or impede firm innovation?" *Journal of Finance*, 69(5), 2085–2125.

Goldstein, I., Guembel, A., (2008). "Manipulation and the allocational role of prices". *Review of Economic Studies* 75, 133–164.

Griffin, J. M., Kelly, P. J., & Nardari, F. (2010). "Do market efficiency measures yield correct inferences? A comparison of developed and emerging markets". *Review of Financial Studies*, 23(8), 3225–3277.

Hirshleifer, D., Subrahmanyam, A., & Titman, S. (2006). "Feedback and the success of irrational investors". *Journal of Financial Economics*, 81(2), 311-338.

Holmström, B., Tirole, J., (1993). "Market liquidity and performance monitoring". *Journal of Political Economy* 101, 678–709.

Holmström, B., Tirole, J., (2001). "LAPM: a liquidity-based asset pricing model". *Journal of Finance* 56, 1837–1867.

Holmström, B., & Tirole, J. (1993). "Market liquidity and performance monitoring". *Journal of Political Economy*, 101, 678-709.

Hosseini, S., Ahmadi, S. (2021). Investigating the Relationship between Financial Statements Complexity and Voluntary Disclosure Based on the Role of Firm Performance, Liquidity, and Institutional Owners. *Financial Management Strategy*, 9(2), 87-64. (in persian).

Hosseini, Seyed Ali; Karami, Gholamreza and Shafiepour, Seyed Mojtaba. (2010). "Investigating the relationship between firm performance and stock market liquidity". *Journal of Securities Exchange*, 3(11), 25-42. (in persian).

Jabbarzadeh Kangarluie, S., Radi, Y. (2019). Investigating the Impact of Managerial Capacity on Corporate Transparency, Emphasizing the Moderation of Weaknesses in Internal Controls in Companies Acquired in Tehran Stock Exchange. *Journal of Financial Accounting Research*, 11(1), 55-80. (in persian).

Jayaraman, S., & Milbourn, T. T. (2012). "The role of stock liquidity in executive compensation". *Accounting Review*, 87(2), 537–563.

Karolyi, G., & Liao, R. (2017). "The economic consequences of investor relations: A global perspective". SSRN working paper, available at <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2591079>.

Kazemi, Hossein and Heidari, Abbas. (2012). "The Relationship between Stock Liquidity and Investment Opportunities." *Financial Knowledge of Securities Analysis*, (16): 29-39. (in persian).

Khanna, N., & Sonti, R. (2004). "Value creating stock manipulation: Feedback effect of stock prices on firm value". *Journal of Financial Markets*, 7(3), 237-270.

Kyle, A. S. (1985). "Continuous auctions and insider trading". *Econometrica*, 53(6), 1315–1335.

Langberg, N., & Sivaramakrishnan, K. (2010). "Voluntary Disclosures and Analyst Feedback". *Journal of Accounting Research*, 48(3), 603-646.

Li, W. X., Chen, C. C. S., & French, J. J. (2012). "The relationship between liquidity, corporate governance, and firm valuation: Evidence from Russia". *Emerging Markets Review*, 13(4), 465–477.



Lim, K. P., & Brooks, R. D. (2010). "Why do emerging stock markets experience more persistent price deviations from a random walk over time? A country-level analysis". *Macroeconomic Dynamics*, 14(S1), 3–41.

Maug, E (1998). "Large shareholders as monitors: Is there a trade-off between liquidity and control?" *The Journal of Finance*, 53(1), 65-98.

Morck, R., Yeung, B., & Yu, W. (2000). "The information content of stock markets: Why do emerging markets have synchronous stock price movements". *Journal of Financial Economics*, 58(1–2), 215–260.

Nguyen, T., Duong, H. N., & Singh, H. (2016). "Stock market liquidity and firm value: An empirical examination of the Australian Market". *International Review of Finance*, 16(4), 639–646.

Nikbakht, Mohammad Reza and Kalhernia, Hamid. (2017). "Determining the relationship between information transparency, liquidity and company evaluation." *Financial Accounting and Auditing Research*, 9 (33): 1-16. (in persian).

Noravesh, I., S. Yazdani Yazdani, S. (2010). The Impact of Leverage on Firm Investments in Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE). *Journal of Financial Accounting Research*, 2(2), 35-48. (in persian).

Norli, O., Ostergaard, C., & Schindele, I. (2015). "Liquidity and shareholder activism". *Review of Financial Studies*, 28(2), 486–520.

Palmiter, A.R. (2001). "Mutual fund voting of portfolio shares: Why not disclose". *Cardozo Law Review*, 23, 1419-1491.

Pastor, L., & Stambaugh, R.F. (2003). "Liquidity risk and expected stock returns". *Journal of Political Economy*, 111, 642-685.

Pham, C.H., Le, H.D., Dang, H.Q., Ta, P.T., Nguyen, C.M., Tu, U.B. (2020). "Investor Protection, Stock Liquidity, and Firm Value". *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, Vol: 24 Issue: 3, Online ISSN: 1528-2635.

Ranjbar, M., Rajabdorri, H., Khanizolan, A. (2018). Investigating the Relationship between Capital Expenditures and Investment Efficiency in Different Stages of Firms Life Cycle. *Journal of Iranian Accounting Review*, 5(18), 47-64. (in persian).

Rezaei, Farzin and Piri, Abdullah. (2011). "The effect of ownership structure, capital structure and liquidity on the market value of companies." *Journal of Financial Accounting and Auditing*, 3 (12): 155-174. (in persian).

Roosenboom, P., Schlingemann, F. P., & Vasconcelos, M. (2014). "Does stock liquidity affect incentives to monitor? Evidence from corporate takeovers". *Review of Financial Studies*, 27(8), 2392–2433.

sadr, S., anvari rostami, A., Vadiee Noghabi, M. (2014). Studying the Relationship between Corporate life Cycle and Stock Liquidity of Companies. *Financial Management Strategy*, 2(3), 1-25. (in persian).

Shahikitash Mohammad Nabi, Kazemi Mehdi, Amini Musa. (2012) Investigating the Relationship between Ownership Structure and Company Performance in Tehran

Stock Exchange: Data Panel Approach. *Economic Journal (bi-monthly review of economic issues and policies)*. 12 (9 and 10): 24-5. (in persian).

Subrahmanyam, A., & Titman, S. (2001). "Feedback from stock prices to cash flows". *The Journal of Finance*, 56(6), 2389-2413.

Uygur, O. (2018). "CEO ability and corporate opacity". *Global Finance Journal*, 35, 72-81.

Wang, X., & Wei, S. (2021). "Does the investment horizon of institutional investors matter for stock liquidity?". *International Review of Financial Analysis*, Volume 74, March 2021, 101648, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2020.101648>.

Yahyazadefar, M., Aligholi, M., Zabihi, F. (2020). Investigate the Relationship between Liquidity and Investment Efficiency with Respect to Financial Constraints and Operational Risk. *Accounting and Auditing Research*, 12(45), 5-22. (in persian).

Zhang, P., Gao, J., & Li, X. (2021). "Stock Liquidity and Firm Value in the Time of COVID-19 Pandemic". *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, Volume 57, 2021 - Issue 6: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2021.1898368>.

COPYRIGHTS



©2022 Alzahra University, Tehran, Iran. This license allows others to download the works and share them with others as long as they credit them, but they can't change them in any way or use them commercially.